

OF CORY MALET; ADAM, LORD OF WELLES; SIMON, LORD OF MONTACUTE; JOHN, LORD OF SUDLEY; JOHN DE MOELES, LORD OF CAUDEBURY*; EDMUND, BARON OF STAFFORD; JOHN LOVEL, LORD OF DACKINGG; EDMUND DE HASTINGS, LORD OF ENCHUNEHOLMOK; RALPH FITZ-WILLIAM, LORD OF GRIMTHORP; ROBERT DE SCALÈS, LORD OF NEUSELES; WILLIAM TUCHE, LORD OF LEVENHALES; JOHN AP ADAM, LORD OF BEVERSTONE; JOHN DE HAVERING, LORD OF GRAFTON*; ROBERT LA WARDE, LORD OF ALBA AULA [WHITEHALL]; NICHOLAS DE SEGRAVE, LORD OF STOWE; WALTER DE TEYE, LORD OF STANDGREVE*; JOHN DE L'ISLE, LORD OF WODETON; EUSTACE, LORD OF HACCHE; GILBERT PECHE, LORD OF CORBY; WILLIAM PAYNEL, LORD OF TRACYNTON*; BOGO DE KNOVILL, LORD OF WHITCHURCH; FULK L'ESTRANGE, LORD OF CORSHAM*; HENRY DE PINKENEY, LORD OF WEDONE*; JOHN DE HUDLESTONE, LORD OF ANEYS*; ROGER DE HUNTINGFIELD, LORD OF BRADENHAM*; HUGH FITZ-HENRY, LORD OF RAVENSWORTH*; JOHN LE BRETON, LORD OF SPORLE*; NICOLAS DE CAREW, LORD OF MULESFORD*; THOMAS, LORD DE LA ROCHE; WALTER DE MONCY, LORD OF THORNTON; JOHN FITZ-MARMADUKE, LORD OF HORDENE*; JOHN, LORD OF KINGSTON*; ROBERT HASTINGS, LORD DE LA DESIREE*; RALPH, LORD OF GRENDON*; WILLIAM, LORD OF LEYBORN; JOHN DE GREYSTOCK, LORD OF MORPETH*; MATTHEW FITZ-JOHN, LORD OF STOKENHAM*; NICHOLAS DE MEYNILL, LORD OF WHERLETON*; JOHN PAYNEL, LORD OF OTTELEYE.

DEVOUTLY KISSING THE BLESSED FEET:—

THE Holy Roman Mother-Church, by whose Ministry the Catholic Faith is guided in its Acts since it, as we firmly believe and fully hold, proceeds [so] that it desires the Prejudice of none but the Rights of all, not less of others than its own, as a Benign Mother should be preserved uninjured.

IN a General Parliament lately assembled at *Lincoln* by OUR MOST SERENE LORD, EDWARD by the grace of God, ILLUSTRIOUS KING OF ENGLAND; Our same Lord caused to be exhibited in our midst and to be carefully explained certain Apostolic Letters which upon certain matters touching the Condition and State of the Realm of *Scotland* he had received upon your behalf. Which being heard and carefully considered, We understood to be contained in the same [statements], as much to be wondered at, as hitherto unheard of.

FOR we know MOST HOLY FATHER and it is notorious in the parts of *England* and not unknown in some others, that from the first Institution of the Realm of *England*, the Kings of the same Realm, as well in the times of the *Britons* as of the *Angles*, had a high and direct Dominion over the land of *Scotland* and in successive times have remained in possession or quasi superiority and direct dominion of the same Realm of *Scotland*. Nor at any time has the same Realm belonged, nor does it belong, in Temporalities, by any Right to the aforesaid Church. But, on the contrary, the same Realm of *Scotland* has [belonged] to the Progenitors of our aforesaid Lord the Kings of *England* and has existed as their Fee from ancient times. Nor have the Kings of *Scotland* and their Realm, been Vassals or have been accustomed to be subject to any others than the Kings of *England*. Nor have the Kings of *England* upon their Rights in the Realm aforesaid or other their Temporalities, answered nor ought to answer before any Judge, Ecclesiastical or Civil, from the free preeminence of their Royal State, Dignity and Custom irrefragably observed.

WHEREFORE, treaty and careful deliberation having been had upon the matters mentioned in your Letters, the Common Agreement and Unanimous Consent of each and all, was, is and shall be (God Willing) for the future:—That the aforesaid our Lord King upon the Rights of his Realm of *Scotland*: or other his Temporalities shall by no means judicially answer before you, or submit himself

* Not recorded in the Writ of Summons to that Parliament.