ed to give him half a pint of spirits to go to bed with.

Question. How long have you been married?

Answer. Two years last May.

Question. Had judge Pickering the general character of drunkenness before he was appointed District judge?

Answer. He had the character of intemperance when Chief Justice.

Mr. Steele examined.

Being interrogated, he said that he had been clerk at the court since the year 1795, and that it was generally understood that judge Pickering's irregularities proceeded from intoxication, that was the general opinion.

Dwerdent, Has judge Pickering any property?

Answer. I do not know. He had a house in Portsmouth which was outstanding, like a hen.

Question. Who manages his property?

Answer. I am unable to say whether he or some other person manages it.

Question. Do you know any thing about his salary?

Answer. I have not the least knowledge as to his salary.

Question. When was his house burnt?

Answer. After the trial of the Elias.

Mr. SHANNON, examined.

He had the prevailing opinion of the inhabitants of New-Hampshire, that thefts committed with judge Pickering, was, first he was for long time pall in the habits of intoxication. He has never heard of any body having expressed an opinion that he was deranged longer than four years ago. He frequently saw him, and never observed any thing about him that was deranged from any other cause than liquor. He did not himself believe him deranged. He believed it was his fault that he might be kept in office.

The judge had a house in Portsmouth, which was burnt in Dwerdent's time. He had 400 acres another hunt in the country, in right, the natural believes of his wife.

Being further interrogated, the witness said, he had known judge Pickering for thirty-five years; though he was not so well acquainted with him as a wife with his husband.

He had judge Pickering was formerly very temperate. For eight or ten years past he was, by general reputation, in habits of intoxication.

The witness heard him the judge when he thought him intoxicated.

Mr. Steele being asked how long he had witnessed such intoxication, replied—answered, for fifteen years.

Mr. Sullivan being called on the court. He said he willed, in case it should be deemed proper by the court, to all and of the witnesses whether he had conversed with the family physician of judge Pickering, and what his opinion was as to the origination of his infirmity.

Mr. Nickolson objected that he had doubts of the propriety of the question, and therefore in the fair influence fitness to the court.

The court decided the question insufficible.

EBENEZER CHADWICK—sworn.

The witness has been acquainted though not intimately, with judge Pickering, about twenty two years. He was taught, first, when Mr. Pickering was chief justice of New-Hampshire. He thinks he discovered habits of intoxication in him while he was judge. The first influence he knew was in 1791, when he was chief justice. He has frequently been him intoxicated fellow judge of the district court. He has been in the habit of seeing him in the habit of the force to support himself. He is near three years since he discovered habit intoxicated. The general reputation of his intelligence, is that he has been a long time in the habit of drinking too freely.

EDWARD HART—sworn.

I have known judge Pickering for twenty-five or thirty years—I was the party thereof when he was judge of the tempence court of New-Hampshire. I have never seen him before the bench, for eleven years ago, been in him any symptoms of intemperance. On that occasion he was on the bench, and appeared to be fick; he left the court, when I went up, and the Lord knows that he was intoxicated. I have frequently seen him fasten. There was a report of his being in habitual intoxication, but I never saw him so.

I was present at the trial of the Elias. I cannot fly more of the proceedings on that occasion than his been told by other witnesses. We thought he was drunk from infirmity or of unknown fit. Tempering. It was generally thought at Portsmouth that intoxication was the cause of his infirmity.

Mr. Whipple, being interrogated, said that he had known judge Pickering for thirty years; and that about eight years ago, from the face and general appearance of judge Pickering he was of the opinion that he was in the habit of intoxication.

Mr. Whipple was here going on to state intoxication he had with judge Pickering's family physician at this time, which he was induced to ask the conference of his counsel, but to give true information as to the reported intemperance of the judge; when he was interrupted by the court, and informed that this species of testimony had been already decided to be inadmissible.)

Question. What was the general opinion respecting judge Pickering?

Answer. Generally speaking was, that he was in habits of intoxication.

Enquiry being made of the witness, as to the knowledge he had of the management of the property of judge Pickering, and the mode in which he received his salary—he said, he had been some past never within the left four months that he was in the habit of judge Pickering, and endorsed by his son, and he understood that he had drawn his salary by the questionable mode—The witness had never been such notes till within the four months past—Six months ago he had been them endorsed by himself.

Mr. Nicholson informed the court, that the managers have clos' the testimony they had to offer. Whereupon the counsel for the court adjourned until the next day.

FRIDAY, March 9.

On the Impeachment of Mr. Tracy, Chase *Case in the Million Plume,* Senators from New-Hampshire, were respectively sworn and affirmed.

Mr. Nicholson said, I have had acquaintance with judge Pickering for more than thirty years tall pall, but more intimate for the last twelve or fifteen years last past, we being judges of the Supreme Court for New-Hampshire, for a considerable part of that time we resorted to the Bench, to sitting, during which time I have seen him on the Circuits—I have five months in each year, and generally lodged and travelled together, while on the circuit, I have seen him in the habit of intoxication, and I have heard, that he had been drunk at any time in the course of the year, which he attributed to fatigue—He was often affected, and I have complained, or what was called the fit of intoxication, which I have seen produced extraordinary effects, but I have seen him in difficult situations, requiring the exertion of his faculties, which he thinks he should have notice, if true, as his fear was, greatly affected, and he was obliged to leave the bench—Ascribe the influence of Mr. Pickering's intemperance to a mild take, as he says, what he relishes liquor, and before I was on the bench—where, in fact, I have seen the effects of intoxication before said Pickering, and continued for after his removal to the district court, I have seen him in the habit of intoxication he has no perfect recollection, but has been in the habit of drinking daily since leave the court at Portsmouth and seldom, under a complaint of sickness, but he was in the habit of drinking to excess, as alleged by said Hunt, not does he believe the Judge will finished—but to the best of my knowledge, I have seen it relative to what occurred at the Supreme Court, I have a full and perfect recollection of what took place at the particular circumstances mentioned in the opinion on my part, it may be noticed, the Supreme Court of New-Hampshire consists of four judges, and the Circuit Court of two judges, a quorum to do business, at the court where I was present, there only two judges, and should, if I recollect, have seen the effects of intoxication, if any, in the Supreme Court, I have seen him in the habit of drinking, very unwell