

# THE WASHINGTON ADVERTISER.

VOL. VIII. WASHINGTON CITY, PRINTED BY SAMUEL HARRISON SMITH, PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE. NO. 1199. MONDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1868. PAID BY ADVANCE.

CONGRESS.  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.  
DEBATE.  
On the Resolution for expunging the Resolution in case an enquiry be made into the conduct of General Wilkinson.  
(Continued.)

Mr. RANDOLPH, Jan. 31.  
Mr. RANDOLPH has moved the consideration of his resolution.  
Mr. CLAY had upon the table his bill accompanying his communication of information touching the conduct of Gen. Wilkinson.

Mr. HOWARD proposed the following resolution: Mr. Randolph having withdrawn his resolution to make way for it.

Resolved, That a special committee be appointed to enquire into the conduct of Brigadier General Wilkinson, in relation to his having, at any time whilst in the service of the United States, corruptly received money from the government of Spain or its agents; and that the said committee have power to send reports and papers, with copies thereof, to the Senate and to the public; and that they report the result of their enquiry to the House.

Mr. DAVIS said notwithstanding the evidence which had just been read, he would give the reasons why he could not vote for the resolution to expunge the resolution, as proposed by this gentleman. It was not for the reasons which the gentleman made upon his bill; but for the reasons which he had just read. He would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

On all these accounts, therefore, he would not vote for the resolution which he had just read. He would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

ference; with equal justice they could interfere with one as well as the other. If you were to enquire into the conduct of any one in this form, you could not have considered the subject so narrowly as to enquire into the conduct of one of the officers of the army.

Mr. B. then said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

not competent to make an enquiry for its own legislative jurisdiction. Was it incompetent, as you say, in its capacity of supervising the public property, to enquire into the conduct of an officer of the army? Was not the House clothed with the power of enquiring into the conduct of an officer of the army?

He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

U. S. to dismember the Union, and that he had no hesitation in saying, and it had been the opinion of a large majority, if not of every one of those of whom he had just read the names, that the army of the U. S. was tainted with that disease; and that, so far from the army of the U. S. having the credit of suppressing that project, the money it found that the courage of that army had fallen, the project was abandoned by those who had undertaken it—became the agency of the army.

He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent. He said that he was not prepared to say that the resolution was impertinent.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.

He had before observed, that he would not vote for the resolution, because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the President, and because he considered it to be an impertinent interference with the rights of the Senate.